28 Chemistry Letters 2002

Synthesis of α -Alkylated (Z)- γ -Fluoro- β , γ -enoates through Organocopper Mediated Reaction of γ , γ -Difluoro- α , β -enoates: A Different Reactivity of R₃Al-Cu(I) and Me₂CuLi

Midori Okada,[†] Yuko Nakamura,[†] Akio Saito, Azusa Sato,[†] Hiroaki Horikawa,[†] and Takeo Taguchi*

Tokyo University of Pharmacy & Life Science, 1432-1 Horinouchi, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0392

[†]Tokyo Women's Medical University, 8-1 Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8666

(Received October 15, 2001; CL-011006)

Reaction of γ, γ -diffuoro- α, β -enoates having a δ -hydroxyl group with trialkylaluminum (R₃Al) in the presence of CuI \bullet 2LiCl proceeded in S_N2'-type manner to give α -alkylated (Z)- γ -fluoro- β, γ -enoates, while reductive defluorination of γ, γ -diffuoro- α, β -enoates with Me₂CuLi followed by reaction with alkyl halides provided the corresponding (Z)- α -alkylated products.

Fluoroolefin (-CF=CH-) is recognized to be an excellent steric and electronic mimic for an amide bond (-CO-NH-), while unlike the amide bond, fluoroolefin should be a nonhydrolyzable bond both chemically and enzymatically, and the lack of rotational freedom of this bond is also a different property from that of the amide bond. ¹ Thus, utilization of (Z)-fluoroalkene dipeptide or depsipeptide isosteres as nonhydrolyzable and/or conformationally nonflexible replacements for the parent amide bonds has attracted much attention in the field of medicinal chemistry.²⁻⁵ Not only as a replacement of amide bond, such functionalized fluorinated compounds can also be useful building blocks for a variety of fluorinated compounds. Although several reports have appeared for the synthesis of fluoroalkene dipeptide isosteres, ^{2–5} stereochemical control of the geometry of the fluoroolefin part and the relative configuration of the chiral centers as well as the use of readily obtainable starting material are major matters to be solved.

Recently, Otaka *et al.* demonstrated that γ, γ -difluoro- α, β enoates can be converted to (Z)- γ -fluoro- β , γ -enoates utilizing organocopper mediated reduction⁶ and to α -alkylated (Z)- γ -fluoro- β, γ -enoates utilizing organocopper reagent under reductionoxidative alkylation conditions.⁷ In the latter reaction, α -alkylated products were formed without any diastereo-selectivity (2,5relative configuration) in moderate yields with concomitant formation of reduction product. We have independently developed a method for the construction of α -alkylated γ -fluoro- β , γ -enoates 2 using γ, γ -difluoro- α, β -enoate 1 (eq 1, eq 3).⁸ As we wish to report in this paper, we found that reaction of γ, γ -difluoro- α, β -enoate having a δ -hydroxyl group with trialkylaluminum (R₃Al) in the presence of CuI•2LiCl proceeded in S_N2'-type manner to give the desired α -alkylated (Z)- γ -fluoro- β , γ -enoates (2, Y=OH) (eq 1). Furthermore, reductive defluorination of γ , γ -difluoro- α , β -enoates 1 with Me₂CuLi followed by reaction with alkyl halides provided the α -alkylated (Z)- γ -fluoro- β , γ -enoates (2, Y=OH, NHAr, H) in good yields (eq 3).

As the substrates, we chose γ, γ -difluoro- α, β -enoates having a hydroxyl group (Y=OH, **1a**, **1b**)⁹ or an amino group (Y=NHAnis, **1c**)⁹ at the δ -position and without such a hetero-atom substituent (Y=H, **1d**)¹⁰ to examine the reactivity of each compound in organocopper mediated reactions to convert to the α -alkylated γ -fluoro- β, γ -enoate **2**.

Reaction of **1a-d** with Me₂CuLi (5 equiv) in THF gave the

1a R¹=Ph Y=OH **1c** R¹=Ph Y=NHAnis **1b** R¹=Bn Y=OH **1d** R¹=Ph Y=H

reductive elimination product 3 in high yields after aqueous workup without the formation of the desired α -methylated product 2 (eq 2, see also Table 2), as in the reactions of similar substrates with organocopper reagent reported by Otaka. 6a,7 On the other hand, when the reaction was conducted using a combination of trialkylaluminum (R₃Al) and CuI•2LiCl¹¹ in THF at 0 °C, direct introduction of the alkyl substituent from the aluminum reagent to the α -position via S_N2' -type reaction was achieved with the substrates having a hydroxyl group at the δ -position (1a, 1b) (eq 1, Table 1). 12 Trimethylaluminum gave the α -methylated product **2a-1** (79%), **2b-1** (90%) in good yields, while tri-isobutylaluminum gave the α -alkylated product 2a-2 (25%), 2b-2 (58%) in moderate yields. In all cases, the reaction proceeds in completely Z selective manner. Regarding the diastereoselectivity, moderate selectivity (8-5.3:1) was observed with the phenyl derivative 1a (entries 1, 2), but the reaction was almost nonselective in the case of the benzyl derivative 1b (entries 3, 4). Since treatment of 1a or 1b with trialkylaluminum in THF followed by quenching with water resulted in a complete recovery of 1a or 1b, Cu(I) is a crucial additive for the reaction to proceed.¹³

Contrary to the above results, with the amino derivative 1c and with the substrate lacking a δ -hydroxyl group 1d α -alkylation did not occur under similar conditions (Me₃Al, CuI•2LiCl in THF) (entries 5, 6). Thus, a hydroxyl group at the δ -position in the substrate 1 seemed to be an essential functionality in the Cu(I)-promoted alkyl-transfer reaction with R₃Al. Although mechanistic detail are unclear at this moment, ¹⁴ it is likely that formation of a five-membered complex A involving the fluorine-aluminum coordination ¹⁵ is possibly the first step in the present reaction. By forming complex A, one of the two fluorine atoms should be activated as a leaving group and presumably the C-C double bond becomes more electrophilic, thereby complex A showed such reactivity toward copper reagent derived from R₃Al and CuI•2LiCl, the nature of which is quite different from that of alkyllithium-based copper reagent such as Me₂CuLi.

Chemistry Letters 2002 29

Table 1. CuI•2LiCl mediated reaction of 1 with trialkylaluminum (eq 1)

Entry	1	R ² ₃ Al	2	R^1	Υ	R^2	Yield/ %	Ratio ^b
1	1a	Me ₃ Al	2a-1	Ph	ОН	Ме	79	8:1
2	1a	i-Bu₃Al	2a-2	Ph	ОН	<i>i</i> -Bu	25	5.3 : 1
3	1b	Me ₃ Al	2b-1	Bn	ОН	Me	90	1:1
4	1b	<i>i</i> -Bu ₃ Al	2b-2	Bn	ОН	<i>i</i> -Bu	58	1.5 : 1
5	1c	Me ₃ Al	2c	Ph	NHAnis	Me	Oc	
6	1d	Me ₃ Al	2d	Ph	Н	Me	0 ^d	

^aIsolated yield. ^bDiastereomer ratio was determined by ¹H- and ¹⁹F-NMR. Stereochemistries were not determined. ^cReduction product **3c** was isolated in 41% yield. ^dRecovery of the starting material.

As mentioned above, reaction of 1a-d with Me₂CuLi in THF gave the reductive elimination product 3 in high yields after aqueous work-up (Table 2, entries 1,2). For mechanistic considerations, Otaka postulated the generation of a stable Cu(I) or Cu(II) intermediate via two electron transfer for the Cu(I) intermediate and via single electron transfer for the Cu(II) intermediate, respectively. $\bar{7}$ Since Cu(II) species derived from ester enolate are known to be very unstable to readily decompose to Cu(I) and radical species, 16 it is likely that reaction of 1 with Me₂CuLi generates the Cu(I) intermediate 4, which would react with alkyl halides to give α -alkylated (Z)- γ -fluoro- β , γ -enoate **2**. Thus, treatment of **1a** with Me_2CuLi (5 equiv) in THF at -20 °C for 15 min followed by the reaction with methyl iodide (10 equiv) at 0° C for 2h gave α methylated product **2a-1** in 93% yield (Table 2, entry 3). As shown in Table 2, not only δ -hydroxylated derivatives **1a**, **1b**, but also the amino derivative 1c and the substrate without an additional δ substituent, 1d, provided the (Z)- α -alkylated products in good yields without formation of a detectable amount of reduction product 3 (entries 3-7). Moderate diastereoselective alkylation (5-6:1) was observed only in the case of **1b** (entries 4,5).

In conclusion, we have shown efficient methods for the preparation of α -alkylated (Z)- γ -fluoro- β , γ -enoates from γ , γ -difluoro- α , β -enoates using Cu(I) mediated alkyl-transfer reaction with trialkylaluminum and Me₂CuLi mediated reduction followed by alkylation with alkyl halide, respectively.

Table 2. Me₂CuLi mediated reduction and α -alkylation of 1 (eq 2, 3)

Entry ^a	1	R ² -X	Product	R^1	Υ	R^2	Yield/ %b	Ratio ^c
1	1a	_	3a	Ph	ОН		70	_
2 ^d	1a		3a	Ph	ОН		64	_
3	1a	Mel	2a-1	Ph	ОН	Me	93	1:1
4	1b	Mel	2b-1	Bn	ОН	Ме	75	6:1
5	1b	BnBr	2b-3	Bn	ОН	Bn	78	5:1
6	1c	Mel	2c	Ph	NHAnis	Ме	92	1.4:1
7	1d	Mel	2d	Ph	Н	Ме	95	

^aEntries 1, 2 correspond to eq 2 and entries 3-7 correspond to eq 3. ^bIsolated yield. ^cDiastereomer ratio was determined by ¹H- and ¹⁹F-NMR. Stereochemistries were not determined. ^dMe₃Al (2 equiv) was added. This paper is dedicated to Professor Teruaki Mukaiyama on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

References and Notes

- a) R. J. Abraham, S. L. R. Ellison, P. Schonholzer, and W. A. Thomas, Tetrahedron, 42, 2101 (1986).
 b) P. Cieplak and P. A. Kollman, J. Comp. Aided Mole. Design, 7, 291 (1993).
- 2 a) T. Alemendinger, E. Felder, and E. Hungerbuehler, in "Selective Fluorination in Organic and Bioorganic Chemistry," ACS Symposium Series 456, ed. by J. T. Welch, American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C. (1991), p 186. b) J. T. Welch, J. Lin, L. G. Borons, B. DeCorte, K. Bergmann, and R. Gimi, in "Biomedical Frontiers of Fluorine Chemistry," ACS Symposium Series 639, ed. by I. Ojima, J. R. McCarthy, and J. T. Welch, American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C. (1996), p 129.
- a) T. Alemendinger, P. Furet, and E. Hungerbuehler, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 31, 7297 (1990). b) T. Alemendinger, E. Felder, and E. Hungerbuehler, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 31, 7301 (1990).
- 4 P. A. Bartlett and A. Otake, J. Org. Chem., 60, 3107 (1995).
- a) J. T. Welch and J. Lin, *Tetrahedron*, **52**, 291 (1996). b) J. Lin, P. J.
 Toscano, and J. T. Welch, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **95**, 14020 (1998).
- a) A. Otaka, H. Watanabe, E. Mitsuyama, A. Yukimasa, H. Tamamura, and N. Fujii, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 42, 285 (2001). See also: b) A. Otaka, E. Mitsuyama, H. Watanabe, H. Tamamura, and N. Fujii, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1081. Reductive defluorination of β-trifluoromethylated α,β-enoate with organocopper reagent was reported, see: c) T. Yamazaki, N. Shinohara, T. Kitazume, and S. Sato, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 97, 91 (1999).
- A. Otaka, H. Watanabe, E. Mitsuyama, A. Yukimasa, H. Tamamura, and N. Fujii, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 42, 5443 (2001).
- 8 M. Okada, Y. Nakamura, H. Horikawa, A. Saito, and T. Taguchi, the 24th Fluorine Conference of Japan, Kyoto, September 2000, Abstr., No. 166.
- 9 Substrates 1a-1c were prepared by a three-step procedure: (1) Reformatsky reaction of bromodifluoroacetate with aldehyde or imine (2) DIBAL reduction (3) olefination reaction with triethyl phosphonoacetate. See: a) E. A. Hallinan and J. Fried, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 25, 2301 (1984). b) T. Taguchi, O. Kitagawa, Y. Suda, S. Ohkawa, A. Hashimoto, Y. Iitaka, and Y. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 29, 5291 (1988). c) See also Ref. 6a.
- 10 O. Kitagawa, T. Taguchi, and Y. Kobayashi, Chem. Lett., 1989, 389.
- 11 B. H. Lipshutz and M. Segi, Tetrahedron, 51, 4407 (1995).
- 12 Typical procedure: Under argon atmosphere, to a solution of 1a (1 mmol) in THF at 0°C was added trimethylaluminum (5 mmol, 2 M hexane solution). After being stirred for 10 min, a 0.5 M THF solution of CuI•2LiCl (2.5 mmol)¹¹ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at 0°C. Addition of 5% HCl and extractive work-up gave the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane-AcOEt 5: 1) to give 2a-1 in 79% yield.
- 13 Copper-mediated conjugate additions of trialkylaluminum with enones were reported. a) J. Kabbara, S. Fleming, K. Nickisch, H. Neh, and J. Westermann, *Tetrahedron*, 51, 743 (1995). b) Y. Takemoto, S. Kuraoka, N. Hamaue, K. Aoe, H. Hiramatsu, and C. Iwata, *Tetrahedron*, 52, 14177 (1996). c) S. M. W. Benett, S. M. Brown, J. P. Muxworthy, and S. Woodward, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 40, 1767 (1999). d) O. Pamies, G. Net, A. Ruiz, C. Claver, and S. Woodward, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 11, 871 (2000). e) N. Kraus and A. Hoffmann-Röder, *Synthesis*, 2001, 171.
- 14 For a recent review of structures and reaction mechanisms of organocuprate clusters: E. Nakamura and S. Mori, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 39, 3750 (2000).
- Maruoka demonstrated several specific reactions for fluorinated molecules on the basis of fluorine-aluminum coordination. a) T. Ooi, D. Uraguchi, N. Kagoshima, and K. Maruoka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 38, 5679 (1997). b) T. Ooi, N. Kagoshima, D. Uraguchi, and K. Maruoka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 39, 7105 (1998). c) T. Ooi, N. Kagoshima, and K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 119, 5754 (1997). For a review: d) D. Uraguchi, T. Ooi, and K. Maruoka, *J. Synth. Org. Chem.*, 58, 14 (2000).
- 16 a) Y. Ito, T. Konoike, T. Harada, and T. Saegusa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 1487 (1977). b) Y. Kobayashi, T. Taguchi, T. Morikawa, E. Tokuno, and S. Sekiguchi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 28, 262 (1980).